

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,662	08/05/2003	Berthold Kessler	1739-0175P	5312
2292	7590 11/02/2005		EXAMINER	
	WART KOLASCH &	STAFIRA, MICHAEL PATRICK		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2877	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

P
77

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/633,662	KESSLER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael P. Stafira	2877	

	Michael I . Stalla	2011	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i	of Appeal. To avoid aband affidavit, or other evidence n compliance with 37 CFF	e, which R 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	•	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma	ling date of the final rejection	•
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply o than three months after the mailing	int of the fee. The appropriate riginally set in the final Office	e extension fee action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	to avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since
	but prior to the date of filing a br	of will not be entered bec	20160
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see N		ause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying the	e issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	21 See attached Natice of Non-	Compliant Amendment (P	TOL -324)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Compliant Amendment (r	101-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separa	te, timely filed amendment	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an exp	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. □ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	it hefere or on the date of filing a	Notice of Appeal will not	he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affic	davit or other evidence is r	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	it does NOT place the applicatio	n in condition for allowand	e because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Page	er No(s)	
13. Other:		0000	_
		Michael P. Stafira Primary Examiner	١

Art Unit: 2877

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The remarks dated 10/6/2005 have been considered by the examiner. Applicant is correct in that the stop of Jurca blocks light from the welding pool, but since applicant's claim or specification fails to disclose what the selection of a given field of observation in the region of the interaction zone is, such as light through an aperture stop which produces a round circular light depending on the size of the aperture. The stop of Jurca does prevent direct light from the zone but, does not totally block light from the zone reading on applicants claim of the detector receiving light from a selection of a given field of observation. Jurca clearly shows that the stop blocks certain light but allows other light from the region to pass to the detector, therefore the combination of Kurosawa and Jurca are proper.